

San Antonio, TX 78205

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,455	11/12/2003		James M. Carmouche	123750.0002.001	8002	
7	590	09/15/2005		EXAMINER		
Richard R. Ru	Richard R. Ruble				WATSON, ROBERT C	
JACKSON WA	ALKER	L.L.P.		ART UNIT		
Suite 2100	Suite 2100				PAPER NUMBER	
112 E. Pecan Street				3723		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
055	10/706,455	CARMOUCHE, JAMES M.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert C. Watson	3723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	ıgust 2005.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) <u>1,5-8 and 12-14</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,9-11 and 15</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed III tilis ivational Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.						
	•							
Attachment(s)	_							
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)							

Applicant's remarks concerning the restriction requirement have been given careful consideration. In view of the fact that the structural features of the various species have mutually exclusive characteristics it would be a burden to the Office to search these several species separately. The restriction requirement is hereby made FINAL. Applicant states that all claims read on the species of Figure 7. The examiner finds this statement to be in error. For example, claims 2-4, 9-11, and 16-17 do not read of the species of Figure 7.

Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2 and 9 it is stated that the extraction assembly hole is smaller than the diameter of the embedded element. How is the embedded element supposed to fit in a hole that is smaller than the diameter of the embedded element?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeRome.

In DeRome 14,16 may be termed a form puller device since it is capable of pulling a form and 10 is an extraction assembly having an attachment component and an engaging component.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,455 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/17/05.

Claims 1, 5-8, and 12-14 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER